Ca	se 2:14-cv-00640-SJO-E Document 1 Filed 01/28/14 Page 1 of 14 Page ID #:32 FILED			
1	LOUIS P. DELL, ESO. (SBN 164830) LAW OFFICE OF LOUIS P. DELL			
2	TAW OFFICE OF LOUIS P. DELL 715 South Victory Blvd.  Burbank, CA 91502			
3	715 South Victory Blvd.  Burbank, CA 91502 (818) 478-2822 (Office) (818) 436-5966 (Fax) e-mail: Idell@louisdell.com			
4	e-mail: ldell@loùisdéll.com			
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, MARIANA GOSCHIN			
6	MARIANA GOSCHIN			
7				
8				
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE			
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	MARIANA GOSCHIN, CASE NO640 STO (EX)			
13	Plaintiffs, {			
14	VS. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES and DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
15	GCFS, INC., dba Greater California Financial Services, and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive,			
16				
17	Defendants. )			
18				
19	Plaintiff, MARIANA GOSCHIN, by her attorney, states as follows:			
20	INTRODUCTION			
21	1. This is an action for damages, attorney fees and costs brought pursuant to the			
22	Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) [15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.] and the			
23	California Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("Rosenthal Act") [Cal. Civ.			
24	Code § 1788, et. seq.] each of which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in			
25	abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.			
26	2. The FDCPA was enacted "to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by			
27	debt collectors" in addition to ensuring that "those debt collectors who refrain from			
28	abusive practices are not competitively disadvantaged." 15 U.S.C. 1692(e).			
	-1-			

- 3. The California Rosenthal Act was enacted in 1976 to ensure the integrity of our banking and credit industry. Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.1(a)(i). The Legislature found that unfair or deceptive debt collection practices undermine the public confidence which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers.
- 4. Plaintiff, MARIANA GOSCHIN, through her attorney, brings this action to challenge the actions of GCFS, Inc., dba Greater California Financial Services, and other as yet unnamed defendants with regard to attempts by them to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by the plaintiff, and this conduct caused the plaintiff to suffer damages.
- 5. Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of those allegations that pertain to a plaintiff, or to the plaintiff's counsel, which the plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.
- 6. While many violations are described below with specificity, this complaint alleges violations of the statutes cited in their entirety.
- 7. Unless otherwise stated, the plaintiff alleges that any violations by the defendants were knowing and intentional, and that Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.
- 8. For the purpose of this Complaint, unless otherwise indicated, "defendant" includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers of the defendant(s) named in this caption.

#### **JURISDICTION**

9. This court has jurisdiction under the provisions of FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), and supplemental jurisdiction over any state law claims asserted herein.

#### **PARTIES**

- 3
- 5
- 7
- 8
- 9 10
- 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 25

- 26 27
- 28

- 10. Plaintiff MARIANA GOSCHIN is a natural person, and resides in the State of California, County of Los Angeles.
- 11. Plaintiff is a "consumer" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and is entitled to protection under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
- 12. Plaintiff is a natural person from whom a debt collector sought to collect a consumer debt which was due and owing or alleged to be due and owing from Plaintiff, and is a "debtor" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- 13. Defendant, GCFS, INC., dba Greater California Financial Services, is a California corporation with its principle place of business in Paso Robles, California. It is a debt collector within the definition of Title 15, United States Code, § 1692a. As a debt collector, this defendant's business includes the collection of any debts, or is one which regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 14. At all times relevant, the defendants were attempting to collect or collecting a debt within the definition of Title 15, United States Code, § 1692a(5) which means any obligation or alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment.
- 15. Defendant, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of itself, or others, engages in debt collection as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(b), and is therefore a debt collector as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c).
- 16. The alleged debt which the defendant attempted to collect from Plaintiff is a "consumer debt" within the meaning of Civil Code § 1788.2(f), in that it was incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
  - 17. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants sued

18. At all times material, each defendant was the agent, servant, and employee of the other defendant, and, at all such times, was acting pursuant to and within the course and scope of such relationships.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 19. Around May 15, 2012, the plaintiff received a collection letter from the defendant which stated that it had been assigned an account formerly belonging to Wells Fargo Bank N.A. / Wells Fargo Card Services pertaining to the plaintiff's alleged delinquency of a credit card with an account number ending with 1480). The letter stated that the plaintiff owed \$12,943.14.
- 20. Plaintiff did not owe \$12,943.14. The collections action sought an incorrect and false amount of money from the plaintiff because plaintiff had no such credit card with that principal owing or that rate of interest. The collections action is a false representation of the amount of money owed on the alleged debt. (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)).
- 21. Around July 30, 2012, the plaintiff received a collection letter from the defendant which stated that it had been assigned an account formerly belonging to Wells Fargo Bank N.A. / Wells Fargo Card Services pertaining to the plaintiff's alleged delinquency of a credit card with an account number ending with 1480). The letter stated that the plaintiff owed \$13,444.13.
- 22. Plaintiff did not owe \$12,943.14. The collections action sought an incorrect and false amount of money from the plaintiff because plaintiff had no such credit card with that principal owing or that rate of interest. The collections action is a false

- 23. Around August 15, 2012, the plaintiff received a collection letter from the defendant which stated that it had been assigned an account formerly belonging to Wells Fargo Bank N.A. / Wells Fargo Card Services pertaining to the plaintiff's alleged delinquency of a credit card with an account number ending with 1480). The letter stated that the plaintiff owed \$13,549.61.
- 24. Plaintiff did not owe \$12,943.14. The collections action sought an incorrect and false amount of money from the plaintiff because plaintiff had no such credit card with that principal owing or that rate of interest. The collections action is a false representation of the amount of money owed on the alleged debt. (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)), used false or deceptive representations or means to collect or attempt to collect any debt (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10)).
- 25. Around October 19, 2012, the plaintiff received a collection letter from the defendant which stated that it had been assigned an account formerly belonging to Wells Fargo Bank N.A. / Wells Fargo Card Services pertaining to the plaintiff's alleged delinquency of a credit card with an account number ending with 1280). The letter stated that the plaintiff owed \$13,549.61.
- 26. Plaintiff did not owe \$12,943.14. The collections action sought an incorrect and false amount of money from the plaintiff because plaintiff had no such credit card with that principal owing or that rate of interest. The collections action is a false representation of the amount of money owed on the alleged debt. (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)).
- 27. On October 11, 2012, the defendant filed a collections action against the plaintiff titled *GCFS*, *Inc. v. Mariana Goschin*, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 12E10100. The collections action alleged causes of action for breach of contract and common counts, and sought damages in the amount of \$10,029.49 plus interest at the rate of 23.99 percent per year from the date of February 28, 2011, attorney fees in the

- amount of \$690.88, and costs of the suit. The collections action alleged that the plaintiff had fallen delinquent on a credit card agreement (account no. ending with 1480) with Wells Fargo Bank N.A. / Wells Fargo Card Services. It alleged that the collection account had been assigned to Absolute Resolutions, Inc. who then assigned it to GCFS, Inc. and the defendant herein.
- 28. Once the plaintiff was served with the collections action, she hired an attorney who filed an answer, denying any liability, and conducted discovery to ascertain the merits of the collections action.
- 29. The collections action was voluntarily dismissed by the defendant on or about October 17, 2013 prior to trial. There was no settlement and no recovery on the part of the defendant.
  - 30. The collections action violated the FDCPA a set forth in this complaint.
- 31. At the time the first collection letter was sent and the time the collections action was dismissed, and at all times throughout, the defendant had not been assigned the collection account and were not otherwise authorized to engage in debt collection thereon. The collection letters and collections action are each a false representation of the legal status of the debt (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)) which threatened to or took action which could not legally be taken by it (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5)), used false or deceptive representations or means to collect or attempt to collect any debt (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10)), and used unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt (15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1)).
- 32. Prior to the filing of the collections action, the applicable statute of limitations had already run on the collection account. The collections action is a false representation of the legal status of the debt (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)) which threatened to or took action which could not legally be taken by it (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5)), used false or deceptive representations or means to collect or attempt to collect any debt (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10)), and used unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10)).

- 33. Plaintiff did not owe the principal debt of \$10,029.49 nor interest of 23.00 23.99 percent per year from the date of February 28, 2011. The collections action sought an incorrect and false amount of money from the plaintiff because plaintiff had no such credit card with that principal owing or that rate of interest. The collections action is a false representation of the amount of money owed on the alleged debt. (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)).
- 34. Each of the acts described above constitute continuing violations under the FDCPA and California Rosenthal Act which continued up and until the collections action was dismissed.
- 35. Each of the acts described above violated FDCPA, Title 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) by using false or deceptive representations or means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 36. Each of the acts described above violated FDCPA, Title 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1) by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 37. Each of the acts described above violated FDCPA, Title 15 U.S.C. § 1692d which prohibits engaging in any conduct "the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt."
- 38. Because each of the above actions violated the language the FDCPA, the each of the actions also violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17 as it incorporates the FDCPA.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of all acts, omissions, and consequences thereof, each plaintiff has sustained statutory and actual damages including emotional distress and pecuniary loss, including:
  - a. Statutory damages.
  - b. Actual damages.
- c. The plaintiff incurred attorney fees and court costs in defending herself in the unlawful collections action.
- d. Humiliation, emotional distress, anguish, fright, horror, nervousness, grief, anxiety, worry, shock, humiliation, and shame.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

40. Plaintiff is entitled to attorney fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (FDCPA)

- 41. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 40 of this complaint.
- 42. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute violations of the FDCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 43. The defendant acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing the plaintiff to pay the debt.
- 44. The defendant are liable to plaintiff for each and every violation described in this complaint under Federal law Title 15, United States Code § 1692k.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (California Rosenthal Act)

- 45. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 44 of this complaint.
- 46. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute violations of the California Rosenthal Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 *et. seq*.
- 47. The defendant acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing the plaintiff to pay the debt.
- 48. The defendant are liable to plaintiff for each and every violation described in this complaint under Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (Malicious Prosecution)

- 49. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 49 of this complaint.
- 50. At all times the defendant knew or had constructive knowledge that it had no authority to collect on the alleged debt. Furthermore, the defendant knew or had constructive knowledge that the statute of limitations had already passed by the time its lawsuit was filed.
- 51. The defendant intentionally filed the collections action with the intent to coerce the plaintiff into paying on an invalid debt.
- 52. Given that the defendant was aware of the invalidity of the debt, its actions were taken without probable cause, and with malice.
- 53. As a direct and proximate cause of the collections action, the plaintiff incurred attorney fees to defend herself, emotional distress, embarrassment, and other damages.
- 54. As additional damages against defendants, plaintiff alleges that defendants were guilty of malice, fraud, oppression as defined in California Civil Code § 3294, and plaintiff should recover, in addition to actual damages, damages to make an example of and to punish defendants. The amount of exemplary damages is not stated pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 425.10.
- WHEREFORE, each plaintiff prays judgment in his/her favor against each defendant as follows:

## FOR THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:

- 1. Actual damages sustained by each plaintiff;
- 2. Statutory damages of no less than \$1,000 for each violation;
- 3. Reasonable attorney fees;
- 4. Costs of suit; and

5. For such further relief as the court may deem just and equitable.

1		FOR THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:		
2	1.	Actual damages sustained by each plaintiff;		
3	2.	Statutory damages of no less than \$1,000 for each plaintiff;		
4	3.	Reasonable attorney fees;		
5	4.	Costs of suit; and		
6	5.	For such further relief as the court may deem just and equitable.		
7		FOR THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:		
8	1.	Actual damages sustained by each plaintiff;		
9	2.	Statutory damages of no less than \$1,000 for each plaintiff;		
10	3.	Reasonable attorney fees;		
11	4.	Costs of suit; and		
12	5.	For such further relief as the court may deem just and equitable.		
13		FOR THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION:		
14	1.	Compensatory damages sustained by the plaintiff;		
15	2.	Punitive damages;		
16	3.	Reasonable attorney fees;		
17	4.	Costs of suit; and		
18	5.	For such further relief as the court may deem just and equitable.		
19		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
20	Plaintiffs hereby demands a jury trial as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal			
21	Rules of Civil Procedure.			
22				
23	Dated:	January 27, 2014 LAW OFFICE OF LOUIS P. DELL		
24				
25		Louis P. Dell Esq.		
26		Aftorney for Plaintiff, MARIANA GOSCHIN		
27				
28				
- 11				

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

	This case has been ass	igned to District Judge	S. James Ot	ero	and the assigned
Magist	rate Judge is	Charles F. Eick	_,×		
The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:					
	CV14-640-SJO(Ex)				
Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.					
	All discovery related i	notions should be noticed or	the calendar of the	Magistrate Ju	udge.
			Clerk, U. S. D	istrict Court	
	January 28, 2014		By C. Sawyer		
	Date		Deputy Cl	erk	
		NOTICE TO (	COUNSEL		
		served with the summons and st be served on all plaintiffs).	complaint on all de	fendants (if a	removal action is
Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:					
x	Western Division 312 N. Spring Street, G- Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth S Santa Ana, CA 92	St., Ste 1053	Eastern Divis 3470 Twelfth Riverside, CA	Street, Room 134
Failur	Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.				

Case 2:14-cv-00640-SJO-E Document 1	Filed 01/28/14 Page 12 of 14 Page ID #:43
Name & Address:	
LOUIS P. DELL, ESQ. (SBN 164830)	
LAW OFFICE OF LOUIS P. DELL	
715 South Victory Blvd.	
Burbank, CA 91501	
818-478-2822	
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	CT OF CALIFORNIA
MARIANA GOSCHIN,	CASE NUMBER
	CV14-640 STO (6
PLAINTIFF(S)	0114 040 3, (
v.	
GCFS, INC., dba Greater California Financial	
Services,	
and DOES 1 to 10, inclusive,	SUMMONS
DEFENDANT(S).	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.  Within 21 days after service of this summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Lo The Dell Building, 715 South Victory Blvd., Burbank, Gjudgment by default will be entered against you for the ryour answer or motion with the court.	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer uis P. Dell , whose address is CA 91502 If you fail to do so
Dated:	Clerk, U.S. District Court  By:  CHRIS SAWYER  Deputy Clerk  (Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
CV-01A (12/07) SUMM	10NS

## Case 2:14-cv-00640-SJO-E Document 1 Filed 01/28/14 Page 13 of 14 Page ID #:44

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □)  MARIANA GOSCHIN		FENDANTS GCFS, INC., dba Greater (	California Financial Servic	ees	
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are rep yourself, provide same.)  LOUIS P. DELL, ESQ. (SBN 164830), LAW OFFICE OF LOUIS P.		orneys (If Known)			
715 South Victory Blvd, 818-478-2822 Burbank, CA 91502					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)	II. CITIZENSHII (Place an X in	P OF PRINCIPAL PART one box for plaintiff and o	TIES - For Diversity Case ne for defendant.)	s Only	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	itizen of This State		DEF  Incorporated or of Business in the		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	76			d Principal Place □ 5 □ 5 nother State	
Ci	itizen or Subject o	f a Foreign Country 3	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	□6 □6	
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)  1V. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)  1V. Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court Appellate Court Reopened State Court State Court Reopened State Court Reopened State Court State C					
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes No	o (Check 'Yes' on	ly if demanded in complain		<u> </u>	
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: ☐ Yes ☑ No	м мог	NEY DEMANDED IN C	OMPLAINT: \$ To be De	etermined	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692	filing and write a	brief statement of cause. I	Do not cite jurisdictional st	tatutes unless diversity.)	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	TORTS	TORTS	PRISONER	LABOR	
□ 410 Antitrust □ 120 Marine □ 310 A	ONAL INJURY irplane	PERSONAL PROPERTY	PETITIONS  □ 510 Motions to	□ 710 Fair Labor Standards	
	irplane Product	☐ 370 Other Fraud	Vacate Sentence	Act □ 720 Labor/Mgmt	
Rates/etc.	ssault, Libel &	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	Habeas Corpus  ☐ 530 General	Relations  730 Labor/Mgmt.	
o to pujinont de	lander ed. Employers'	Property Damage	☐ 535 Death Penalty	Reporting &	
and Corrupt Judgment Li	iability	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 540 Mandamus/ Other	Disclosure Act	
Organizations	farine farine Product		☐ 550 Civil Rights	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act☐ 790 Other Labor	
132 Recovery of Defaulted Li	iability	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC	☐ 555 Prison Condition	Litigation	
□ 810 Selective Service Veterans)	fotor Vehicle fotor Vehicle	158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28	FORFEITURE / PENALTY	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	
Pr	roduct Liability	USC 157	□ 610 Agriculture	PROPERTY RIGHTS	
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 Veteran's Benefits	ther Personal	CIVIL RIGHTS	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	
USC 3410   I 160 Stockholders' Suits   I 362 Pe	njury ersonal Injury-	□ 442 Employment	☐ 625 Drug Related	840 Trademark	
M State of the sta	fed Malpractice	443 Housing/Acco-	Seizure of	SOCIAL SECURITY	
□ 892 Economic Stabilization Liability Pr	ersonal İnjury- roduct Liability	mmodations  ☐ 444 Welfare	Property 21 USC 881	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	
	sbestos Personal	☐ 445 American with	☐ 630 Liquor Laws	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW	
□ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 210 Land Condemnation Li	njury Product iability	Disabilities - Employment	□ 640 R.R. & Truck □ 650 Airline Regs	(405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI	
□ 895 Freedom of Info, Act □ 220 Foreclosure □ 1MM □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi- □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 462 N	IIGRATION	☐ 446 American with	□ 660 Occupational	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	
nation Under Equal 240 Torts to Land A	aturalization pplication	Disabilities - Other	Safety /Health  ☐ 690 Other	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	
Access to Justice 245 Tort Product Liability 463 H	abeas Corpus-	440 Other Civil	1 690 Otner	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	
State Statutes □ 465 Of	lien Detainee ther Immigration ctions	Rights		□ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609	
		w : AMA	1 6 10 O		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:		CAI	4-040		

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

# Case 2:14-cv-00640-SJO-E Document 1 Filed 01/28/14 Page 14 of 14 Page ID #:45 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? Vo Yes If yes, list case number(s):						
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? ✓ No ☐ Yes If yes, list case number(s):						
Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:  (Check all boxes that apply)  A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or  B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or  C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or  D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.  IX. VENUE: (When completing the following information, use an additional sheet if necessary.)						
(a) List the County in this District; Check here if the government, it	California County or s agencies or emplo	ntside of this District; State if other vees is a named plaintiff. If this bo	than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named x is checked, go to item (b).	plaintiff resides.		
County in this District:*			ornia County outside of this District; State, if other than Californi	a: or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles				a, or a oreign country		
(b) List the County in this District; Check here if the government, it	California County or s agencies or emplo	tside of this District; State if other wees is a named defendant. If this b	than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named box is checked, go to item (c).	defendant resides.		
County in this District:*		Calif	ornia County outside of this District; State, if other than Californi	a; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles						
(c) List the County in this District; ( Note: In land condemnation ca	California County ou	stside of this District; State if other of the tract of land involved.	than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim a	rose.		
County in this District:*		Califo	ornia County outside of this District; State, if other than Californi	a; or Foreign Country		
Los Angeles						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside, Ve e the location of the	ntura, Santa Barbarg, or San Lu tract of land involved	is Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (	OR PRO PER):	////	Date January 27, 2014			
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)						
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause	e of Action	**		
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 196 (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability, (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863						
864	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security, as amended.			6 of the Social Security		
865	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08)